

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No. 10/598,404	Applicant(s) GEILEN ET AL
Examiner Peter Szekely		Art Unit 1796	

All Participants:

(1) Peter Szekely

(2) Mary Golota

Date of Interview: 22 January 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 3:45 p.m.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None.

Claims discussed:

None.

Prior art documents discussed:

None.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

In claims 1 and 22 emulsion polymerized resins will be specified. In claim 23 a coating composition will be specified.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Peter Szekely/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)